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## General marking guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the last candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the first.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than be penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme - not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification/indicative content will not be exhaustive.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, a senior examiner must be consulted before a mark is given.
- Crossed-out work should be marked unless the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

| Question Number | Answer | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1(a)(i) | AO1 (10), AO2 (16), AO3 (1) |  |
| AO1: Ten marks for Direct labour and materials, opening and closing |  |  |
| inventory, marketing and trade fairs, discount allowed and motor vehicle |  |  |
| running expenses, delivery costs and sales commission, sales and delivery |  |  |
| staff wages, auditors fees and bad debts written off, head office expenses |  |  |
| and staff, corporation tax, |  |  |
| AO2: Sixteen marks for factory and machinery depreciation, factory power, |  |  |
| warehouse rent, depreciation on motor vans, warehouse, shops and head |  |  |
| office power, bank loan and debenture interest, revenue and cost of sales, |  |  |
| gross profit, other income and distribution costs, administration expenses |  |  |
| and financial cost, profiton ordinary activities before and after tax. |  |  |
| AO3: One mark for Increase in Bad debt provision |  |  |$\quad$.


|  |  |  | W1 Cost of Sales |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [10 AO1] [16 AO2] [1 AO3] |  |  | Direct factory labour | 1890000 |  |
| Statement of Comprehensive Income for |  |  | Direct Materials | 2150000 AO1 | both |
| Brama Sun plc for y/e 31 March 2017 |  |  | Less Discount Received | -43000 AO1 |  |
|  |  |  | Factory Depreciation | 92000 AO2 |  |
| Revenue | 9864000 |  | Machinery Depreciation | 43900 AO2 |  |
|  |  | both | Opening Inventory | 772000 |  |
| Cost of sales | (4601900) | AO2 o/f | Less Closing Inventory | -779000 AO1 | both |
|  |  |  | Factory power | 476000 AO2 |  |
| Gross profit | 5262100 | AO2 o/f |  | 4601900 | 6 |
|  |  |  | W2 Distribution Costs |  |  |
| Other Income | 1000 |  | Marketing | 324000 |  |
|  |  | any 2 | Trade fairs and exhibitions | 110000 AO1 | both |
| Distribution costs | o/f (2448330) | AO2 | Discount Allowed | 87000 |  |
|  |  |  | Motor vehicle running expenses | 43000 AO1 | both |
| Administrative expenses | o/f (1470920) |  | Rent on warehouse | 257000 AO2 |  |


|  |  | any 2 | Depreciation on motor vans | 76800 | AO2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial cost | o/f (102000) | AO2 | Sales Commission | 94530 |  |  |
|  |  |  | Delivery costs | 178000 | AO1 | both |
| Profit on ordinary activities before tax | o/f 1241850 | AO2 | Sales staff wages | 632000 |  |  |
|  |  |  | Delivery staff wages | 476000 | AO1 | both |
| Corporation tax | (218000) | AO1 | Warehouse power | 68000 | AO2 |  |
|  |  |  | Shops power | 102000 | AO2 |  |
| Profit on ordinary activities after tax | o/f 1023850 | AO2 |  | 2448330 |  | 8 |
|  |  |  | W3Administrative Expenses |  |  |  |
| Total 27 marks |  | 7 | Auditors fees | 18500 |  |  |
|  |  |  | Bad Debts Written Off | 26000 | AO1 | both |
|  |  |  | Increase in Bad Debt provision | 1420 | AO3 |  |
|  |  |  | Head office expenses | 510000 |  |  |
|  |  |  | Head office staff | 881000 | AO1 | both |
|  |  |  | Head office power | 34000 | AO2 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1470920 |  | 4 |
|  |  |  | W5 Financial cost |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Bank loan interest | 12000 | AO2 |  |
|  |  |  | Debenture interest | 90000 | AO2 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | 102000 |  |  |


| Question Number | Answer | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 (a)(ii) | AO1 (6), AO2 (5), AO3 (5) <br> AO1: Six marks for goodwill, bank and cash, general reserve, debenture, <br> trade payables and bank loan. <br> AO2: Five marks for factory, machinery, motor vans, debenture and bank <br> interest. <br> AO3: Five marks for trade and other receivables, retained earnings, other <br> payables and corporation tax |  |


| Statement of Financial Position of |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brama Sun plc at 31 March 2017 | $£$ | $£$ | £ |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |
| Non-current assets |  |  |  |
| Property, plant and equipment |  |  |  |
| Factory | 3588000 | (1) o/f AO2 |  |
| Machinery | 395100 | (1) o/fAO2 |  |
| Motor vans | 131200 | (1) o/f AO2 |  |
|  |  | 4114300 |  |
| Goodwill | 90000 |  |  |
|  |  | 90000 |  |
|  |  |  | 4204300 |
| Current assets |  |  |  |
| Inventories | 779000 | (1) AO1 both |  |
| Trade and other receivables |  |  |  |
| Trade receivables | 821000 |  |  |
| Less allowance for doubtful debts | (16 420) |  |  |
|  | 804580 | (1) AO 3 |  |
| Other receivables | 23000 | (1) AO3 |  |


|  |  | 827580 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash and cash equivalents |  |  |  |
| Bank and cash | 175000 | (1) AO1 |  |
|  |  | 175000 |  |
|  |  |  | 1781580 |
| Total assets |  |  | $\underline{5985880}$ |
| EQUITY AND LIABILITIES |  |  |  |
| Equity |  |  |  |
| Share Capital |  |  |  |
| Ordinary shares of $£ 0.50$ | 3700000 | both |  |
| General reserve | 56000 | (1) A01 |  |
| Retained earnings | 399380 | (1) AO3 o/f |  |
|  |  |  | 4155380 |
| Non-current liabilities |  |  |  |
| 7.5\% debenture | 1200000 | (1) AO1 |  |
|  |  |  | 1200000 |
| Current liabilities |  |  |  |
| Trade payables | 175000 | (1) AO1 |  |
| Other payables | 17500 | both |  |
|  | 24000 | (1) AO 3 |  |
| Debenture interest | 45000 | (1) AO2 |  |
| Bank interest | 1000 | (1) AO2 |  |
| Bank loan - must be current | 150000 | (1) AO1 |  |
| Corporation tax payable | 218000 | (1) AO3 |  |
|  |  |  | 630500 |
| Total equity and liabilities |  |  | $\underline{5985880}$ |


| Question Number | Answer | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 (b) | AO1(1), AO2 (1), AO3 (4), AO4 (6) <br> For Importance <br> - Auditors are independent scrutineers of the financial statements of the company who report that the financial statements have been prepared "correctly" in accordance with International Accounting Standards and give a true and fair view (or do not). The senior partner will sign the Auditors Report found in the Annual Report, giving the auditors opinion of the financial statements of the company. <br> - Auditors are reporting on how directors have used the funds invested by shareholders. The auditors duty is to the shareholders of the company. <br> - Auditors may report on corporate governance under the following headings: <br> - Leadership - e.g. is there a clear definition of roles <br> - Effectiveness - e.g. does the board have the appropriate skills, experience, knowledge <br> - Accountability - e.g. is the board clear that it is responsible for risk management <br> - Remuneration - e.g. is the pay to board members appropriate <br> - Relations with shareholders - e.g. is a satisfactory dialogue with shareholders taking place <br> - Auditors may give tax authorities more confidence that the tax computation of the company is correct. <br> - Professional supervisory bodies exist to give guidelines to auditors, e.g. Auditing Practices Board. <br> - Auditors should be professionally qualified e.g. Chartered Accountants. |  |


|  | Against Importance <br> - Auditors may not be very independent, going along with the wishes of clients, in order to keep their custom, which may include non-audit work. <br> - Auditors could be misled by the directors of the company and provide an inaccurate Report. <br> - Auditors do not guarantee that material fraud has not occurred. <br> Conclusion <br> Auditors role in limited companies is important. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level | Mark | Descriptor |  |
|  | 0 | A completely incorrect response. |  |
| Level 1 | 1-3 | Isolated elements of knowledge and understanding which are recall based. Weak or no relevant application to the scenario set. Generic assertions may be present. |  |
| Level 2 | 4-6 | Elements of knowledge and understanding, which may be applied to the scenario. Chains of reasoning are present, but may be incomplete or invalid. <br> A generic or superficial assessment is present. |  |
| Level 3 | 7-9 | Accurate and thorough understanding, supported throughout by relevant application to the scenario. <br> Some analytical perspectives are present, with developed chains of reasoning, showing causes and/or effects. <br> An attempt at an assessment is presented, using financial and non-financial information, in an appropriate format and communicates reasoned explanations. |  |
| Level 4 | 10-12 | Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding, supported throughout by relevant and application to the scenario. <br> A coherent and logical chain of reasoning, showing causes and effects. <br> Assessment is balanced, wide ranging and well contextualised using financial and maybe non-financial information and makes an informed decision. |  |





| Question Number | Answer |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mark |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 ( c) A | AO2 (5) <br> AO2: Five marks each monthly total |  |  |  |  |  |  | (5) |  |
| Rew orking budget (£) | £) August | September |  | October |  | November |  | December |  |
| Production | 100 | 610 |  | 1061 |  | 1046.85 |  | 1042.05 |  |
| Cost per car | £19.00 | £19.00 |  | £19.00 |  | $£ 19.00$ |  | £19.00 |  |
| Total cost | $£ 1,900$ (1) AO2 o/f | £11,590 | (1) AO2 o/f | £20,159 | (1) $\mathrm{AO} 2 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{f}$ | £19,890 | (1) $\mathrm{AO} 2 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{f}$ | £19,799 | (1) $\mathrm{AO} 2 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{f}$ |





|  | Disadvantages <br> - Does not bring in a large amount of cash on the day of the sale. <br> - Company has to wait for payment over 36 months. <br> Conclusion <br> Option 3 brings in the most cash per sale, although company must wait 3 years to collect all of it. <br> It may be argued that option 1 is the best, as company receive cash on the day of the sale and as $40 \%$ of customers use it. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level | Mark | Descriptor |
|  | 0 | A completely incorrect response. |
| Level 1 | 1-3 | Isolated elements of knowledge and understanding recall based. <br> Weak or no relevant application to the scenario set. Generic assertions may be present. |
| Level 2 | 4-6 | Elements of knowledge and understanding, which are applied to the scenario. <br> Chains of reasoning are present, but may be incomplete or invalid. <br> A generic or superficial assessment is present. |
| Level 3 | 7-9 | Accurate and thorough understanding, supported throughout by relevant application to the scenario. Some analytical perspectives are present, with developed chains of reasoning, showing causes and/or effects. <br> An attempt at an assessment is presented, using financial and maybe non-financial information, in an appropriate format and communicates reasoned explanations |
| Level 4 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 10- \\ 12 \end{array}$ | Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding, supported throughout by relevant and effective application to the scenario. <br> A coherent and logical chain of reasoning, showing causes and effects. <br> Assessment is balanced, wide ranging and well contextualised using financial and maybe non-financial information and makes informed recommendations and decision(s). |


| Question <br> Number | Answer | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3(a) | [5 AO1] [12 AO2] [7 AO3] <br> AO1: Five marks for totals and sub-totals <br> AO2: Tw elve marks for profit on sale of non- <br> current asset, working capital changes, <br> investing activities entries, financing activity <br> entries, and cash and cash equivalents <br> entries <br> AO3: Seven marks for profit from operations, <br> depreciation calculation, interest paid and <br> tax paid | $(24)$ |



| 3 (b) |  | (6) <br> for handled well <br> Current ratio has improved from 1.8:1 to 1.87:1 <br> Been able to have the funds to redeem the debenture <br> Raised £228 000 from sale of a property this was $£ 72000$ more than was paid for the property <br> Have had the funds to be able to pay a final and interim dividend to shareholders, and purchase machinery for the business, and pay the tax bill due. <br> for handled poorly <br> Acid test ratio has reduced from 0.92:1 to 0.74:1 <br> Were unable to generate an inflow from operating activities <br> Sold property - was this to raise funds? How important was the property to the business? Has the company now had to rent premises? Raising cash by selling off assets is not "quality" cash unless the assets are surplus. <br> clusion <br> rall, cash and cash equivalents have reduced £12000, so it could be said that liquidity has been handled well. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level | Mark | Descriptor |  |
|  | 0 | A completely incorrect response. |  |
| Level 1 | 1-2 | Isolated elements of knowledge and understanding that are recall based. <br> Generic assertions may be present. <br> Weak or no relevant application to the scenario set. |  |
| Level 2 | 3-4 | Elements of knowledge and understanding, which are applied to the scenario. <br> Some analysis is present, with developed chains of reasoning, showing causes and/or effects applied to the scenario, although these may be incomplete or invalid. An attempt at an evaluation is presented, using financial and perhaps non-financial information, with a decision. |  |
| Level 3 | 5-6 | Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding. Application to the scenario is relevant and effective. A coherent and logical chain of reasoning, showing causes and effects is present. <br> Evaluation is balanced and wide ranging, using financial and perhaps non-financial information and an appropriate decision is made. |  |


| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Question } \\ \text { Number }\end{array}$ | Answer |  | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 (a) | $\begin{array}{c}\text { AO1 (3), AO2 (3) } \\ \text { AO1: Three marks for all returns and total. } \\ \text { AO2: Three marks for W ACC calculation }\end{array}$ |  |  |
|  | $£$ million | Interest Rate | Interest $£ \mathrm{~m}$ |$]$.



|  | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ |  | $£ 000$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Profit | $\underline{\text { Income }}$ | $\underline{\text { Costs }}$ |  | $\underline{\text { Profit }}$ |  |  |  |
| Year 1 | 93600 | 85800 |  | 7800 |  |  |  |
| Year 2 | 98280 | 86840 |  | 11440 |  |  |  |
| Year 3 | 103194 | 86840 |  | 16354 |  |  |  |
| Year 4 | 108108 | 87880 |  | 20228 |  |  |  |
| Year 5 | $\underline{115830}$ | $\underline{87880}$ |  | $\underline{27950}$ |  |  |  |
| Total | 519012 | 435240 | (1) AO2 <br> o/f both |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | (1) o/f <br> Total |  |  |  |

Average Annual $=£ 83772000$ o/f (1) AO3 $=£ 16754400$ per year o/f (1) AO3 Return 5 years (1) AO3

Accounting rate of return $=£ 16754400 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{f}(1) \mathrm{AO} \times 100=6.44 \% \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{f}$ (1) AO 3 £260 000000 (1) AO3

Other formulas are accepted

| Question <br> Number I |  | cative Content | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 (c) |  | 4 (6) <br> wers may include: <br> inst Investment <br> ARR may be a limited method of appraisal as it does not take account of the falling value of money over time. <br> Investment <br> ARR states invest as project meets expected company percentage return figure of $6 \% ~(o / f)$ <br> Project is profitable overall having total profit over 5 years of $£ 83772000$ (o/f) <br> er Relevant Points: <br> How realistic is the $6 \%$ return target of the company? It is higher than the returns given to the company's weighted average cost of capital. <br> How accurate are the predictions? <br> There may be better investment projects available <br> What are the objectives and what is the strategy of the company? <br> What happens after 5 years? - is there any chance of a renewal of the contract? <br> Will this project lead to any other/further business? | (6) |
| Level | Mark | Descriptor |  |
|  | 0 | A completely incorrect response. |  |
| Level 1 | 1-2 | Isolated elements of knowledge and understanding that are recall based. <br> Generic assertions may be present. <br> Weak or no relevant application to the scenario set. |  |
| Level 2 | 3-4 | Elements of knowledge and understanding, which are applied to the scenario. <br> Some analysis is present, with developed chains of reasoning, showing causes and/or effects applied to the scenario, although these may be incomplete or invalid. An attempt at an evaluation is presented, using financial and perhaps non-financial information, with a decision. |  |


| Level 3 | 5-6 | Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding. <br> Application to the scenario is relevant and effective. <br> A coherent and logical chain of reasoning, showing <br> causes and effects is present. <br> Evaluation is balanced and wide ranging, using financial <br> and perhaps non-financial information and an <br> appropriate decision is made. |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |


|  | Question Number | Answer |  | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 (a) | AO2 (2), AO3 (4) <br> AO2: Two marks for ordinary shares/ bank entry with at least one date. <br> AO3: Four marks for share premium entry and CRR entry <br> The Journal |  | \|r|r |
|  |  |  | Debit | Credit |
| 8 July 2016 | £1 Ordinary share capital |  | $\begin{gathered} 1000000 \\ \text { AO2 } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Bank |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1000000 \\ A O 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Share premium |  | $\begin{gathered} 3000000 \\ \mathrm{AO3} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Bank |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 3000000 \\ \text { AO3 } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Retained earnings |  | $\begin{gathered} 4000000 \\ \mathrm{AO3} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Capital redemption reserve |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 4000000 \\ \text { AO3 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |



| Question Number | Indicative Content |  | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 (c) | AO4 (6) <br> Answers may include: <br> Case For: <br> - Redemption of shares will improve the figure for return on capital employed - a key ratio on which performance of the business is judged. <br> - Future dividends / cash leaving the company may be reduced. <br> - Company may have surplus funds / excess working capital etc so may afford / be in a position to redeem shares. <br> Case Against: <br> - Worsens the gearing ratio. <br> - Company may not have surplus funds / excess working capital etc so may not be in an appropriate liquidity position. Working capital is reduced. <br> - May upset shareholders who will receive lower future dividends. Also, shareholders appear to be receiving the same amount that they paid for the share, so have made no capital gain. Unhappy shareholders are not usually good for the company. <br> Conclusion <br> Redemption of shares may/ may not be beneficial for the company |  | (6) |
| Level | Mark | Descriptor <br> A completely incorrect response. |  |
|  | 0 |  |  |
| Level 1 | 1-2 | Isolated elements of knowledge and understanding that are recall based. <br> Generic assertions may be present. <br> Weak or no relevant application to the scenario set. |  |
| Level 2 | 3-4 | Elements of knowledge and understanding, which are applied to the scenario. <br> Some analysis is present, with developed chains of reasoning, showing causes and/or effects applied to the scenario, although these may be incomplete or invalid. An attempt at an evaluation is presented, using financial and perhaps non-financial information, with a decision. |  |
| Level 3 | 5-6 | Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding. Application to the scenario is relevant and effective. A coherent and logical chain of reasoning, showing causes and effects is present. <br> Evaluation is balanced and wide-ranging, using financial and perhaps non-financial information and an appropriate decision is made. |  |



| Question Number | Answer | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 (b) | AO1 (3) , AO3 (3) <br> AO1: 1 mark for each point made. <br> AO3: 1 mark for each development. <br> (i) Advantages of absorption costing (Maximum <br> of 2 points) <br> - All are costs allocated to products. This could be useful for management when fixing prices. <br> - If used financial statements would give a true and fair view and be signed off by auditors. Recommended by IAS 2. <br> - This follows the matching concept. Here the revenues of a product are matched against the costs of the product. <br> (ii) Disadvantage of absorption costing (Maximum <br> of 1 point) <br> - All costs are not allocated to the time period in which they are incurred. So it may be argued that profit for that time period is not accurate as external accounts are drawn up on the basis of a time period. <br> - Does not follow the prudence concept. The closing inventory and the profit figures are higher than in marginal costing. <br> - Absorption costing is not suitable for decision making in the short term. . In the long term fixed costs need to be covered so absorption costing is suitable for long term decision making only. | (6) |


| Question Number | Indicative content |  | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 (c) | AO4 (6) <br> For accepting order <br> - The order could be accepted on the grounds that $£ 30$ is greater than the marginal cost of $£ 27.45$ (o/f). A positive contribution of £2.55 per item would be made. <br> - New customer may result in more orders in the future, perhaps at a higher price. <br> For rejecting order <br> - However in the long term, selling at $£ 30$ would result in a Net Loss/ not all costs are covered. <br> - Existing customers would be unhappy to hear of this low price on offer. <br> Conclusion <br> Marginal costing states order should be accepted |  | (6) |
| Level | Mark | Descriptor |  |
|  | 0 | A completely incorrect response. |  |
| Level 1 | 1-2 | Isolated elements of knowledge and understanding that are recall based. <br> Generic assertions may be present. <br> Weak or no relevant application to the scenario set. |  |
| Level 2 | 3-4 | Elements of knowledge and understanding, which are applied to the scenario. <br> Some analysis is present, with developed chains of reasoning, showing causes and/or effects applied to the scenario, although these may be incomplete or invalid. An attempt at an evaluation is presented, using financial and perhaps non-financial information, with a decision. |  |
| Level 3 | 5-6 | Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding. Application to the scenario is relevant and effective. A coherent and logical chain of reasoning, showing causes and effects is present. <br> Evaluation is balanced and wide ranging, using financial and perhaps non-financial information and an appropriate decision is made. |  |

